

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,244	05/10/2001	Gordon Good	PA3996US 4076	
22830 CARR & FER	7590 08/07/2007 RELL LLP		EXAMINER	
2200 GENG ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94303			CALLAHAN, PAUL E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		mn			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/852,244	GOOD, GORDON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul Callahan	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>ly 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers	•	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.00.07.07.07.07.02.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	` ''				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	a.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 09/852,244 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 25, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-36 are pending in the instant application and have been examined.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments and a supporting affidavit were filed July 25, 2007.

The affidavit was provided with a supporting document and indeed references the supporting document. However, nowhere on the document is there a date indicating when it was first available to the public, therefore it cannot be considered as prior art to the instant application and may not act to preempt any references applied in the rejections of the claims. Additionally, the document was not provided in an IDS submission (PTO 1442) as per 37 C.F.R. Sec. 1.97 and 1.98.

Applicant's arguments filed July 25, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues in traverse of the rejections of the claims under 35 USC 102, as anticipated by Rothermal US 6,678,827, by asserting that Rothermal fails to teach the features found in the independent claims of expanding at least one template at a central location and subsequently providing the expanded information to a plurality of computing devices. The applicant maintains that the cited portions of Rothermal merely teach provision of a template to a computing device and subsequently expanding the template at the device. The Examiner counters that, while Rothermal does indeed teach such local expansion where a network security device (henceforth NSD) receives a template and then expands it by the addition of NSD specific information, the Rothermal patent also teaches expansion of a template at a central location with later distribution of the expanded information to a plurality of computing devices. For example, in col. 10 line 8 through col. 11 line 17 Rothermal teaches the use of a graphical user interface by an administrator to establish a security policy (e.g. Alias lists) in a template with subsequent distribution of the template to a plurality of computing devices (NSD's). Such establishment of security policies for a network in a template does read on the applicant's claim limitation of "expansion" of a template as further developed in the arguments presented July 25, 2007 where the Applicant states that expansion of a template at a central location comprises using those templates at the centralized location.

The applicant asserts that Rothermal fails to teach the use of an XML document.

However the Examiner maintains that such is indeed taught at the cited passages.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,244

Art Unit: 2137

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 5. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rothermal et al., US 6,678,827.
- 6. As for claims 1-36, no changes have been made to the language of the claims via the latest amendment. Consequently no changes are necessitated in the rejections of the claims as set forth in the previous (final) Office Action. Therefore the rejections of the claims as found in the previous Office action will not be repeated herein, but instead are hereby incorporated in their entirety by reference to the previous Office Action. The applicant is referred to the previous Office Action for the text of the rejections.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise, can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (571) 273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/852,244

Art Unit: 2137

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul E. Callahan/ August 1, 2007

Paul (allaha

EMMARUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER